

# Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete

Claisse, P.A.

**Presented version deposited in CURVE May 2013**

**Original citation & hyperlink:**

Claisse, P.A. (2010, October). *Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete*  
Presented at the Third Kwang-Hua World Forum on Sustainable Civil Engineering, State Key  
Laboratory of Disaster Reduction in Civil Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, China.

**Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.**

**CURVE is the Institutional Repository for Coventry University**

<http://curve.coventry.ac.uk/open>


Environmentally friendly materials and technology in civil engineering

# Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.

Professor Peter Claisse

MA (Oxon) PhD CEng FICE

Coventry University, Coventry UK



# Coventry



COVENTRY UNIVERSITY CIVIL  
ENGINEERING GROUP  
MATERIALS

Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.

Professor Peter Claisse

Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future

# Possible routes to Environmentally Friendly Concrete

- Low energy cements such as magnesia cements
- Low impact fuel for cement kilns such as waste tyres.
- Energy saving in cement production with efficient kilns
- Use of waste minerals to replace the cement  
We believe that this is the best option

# Candidate materials (1)

- Sodium sulphate slag (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Spent borax slag (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Ferrosilicate slag (lumps from Britannia Refined Metals Ltd. sand size from Britannia Zinc Ltd.)
- Ferrosilicate copper slag (IMI Refiners Ltd.)
- Soda slag (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Chrome Alumina slag (London & Scandinavian Metallurgical Co. Ltd.)
- Cement Kiln Dust ,CKD (Rugby Cement)
- Run of station ash (Ash Resources Ltd.)
- Lagoon ash (UK quality Ash Association)
- Steel slag (Tarmac Quarry Products Ltd.)
- “Red” titanogypsum.

# Candidate materials (2)

- Waste Gypsum wall board (from the plant or site waste)
- Burnt Oil Shale (Tarmac Quarry Products Ltd.)
- By-product Gypsum (Biffa Waste Services Ltd.)
- Glass cullet (Mercury Recycling Ltd.)
- Limex70 (British Sugar Plc.)
- Shell foundry sand (Bruhl UK Ltd., Hepworth Minerals & Chemicals Ltd.)
- Green foundry sand (Castings Plc. And Bruhl UK Ltd.)
- Fire kettle setting (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Fine rotary fascia bricks (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Sodium sulphate solution (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Air Pollution Control residues: fly ash from domestic waste incinerator

# Mixture Liquids

- Water
- Sodium Sulphate Solution from Battery recycling



# Typical combinations

- Ash – Alkali mixtures such as run-of-station ash and cement kiln dust.
- Sulphate mixtures such as waste gypsum and steel slag.

“Red” Titanogypsum in  
a landfill in the UK



**Having identified suitable materials it is essential to define the requirements of the proposed application.**

## Requirements of concrete for landfill barriers

- A cube strength of 5 N/mm<sup>2</sup>
- The strength requirement is only for emplacement.
- Expansion of the barrier is harmless
- The leachate permeability through the barrier must remain low.
- Cracking is inevitable
- Alkaline buffering is essential

Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.

Professor Peter Claisse

Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
  - Laboratory Tests
  - Site Trials
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future

# Test Procedures for Cementitious Samples in the Coventry University JL Laboratories

- **Wet Concrete Tests:** Slump, Compaction Index, flow table, VB test, ICAR Rheometer
- **Wet mortar and paste tests :** Grout viscometer, flow trough.
- **Strength Tests:** Cubes (50mm and 100mm), cylinder splitting, beam bending, elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio with strain gauges, core cutting and testing.
- **Transport Tests:** High Pressure permeability, diffusion cells, Rapid Chloride Permeability, water absorption
- **Corrosion tests:** Rest potential, linear polarisation, concrete resistivity.

# Test Procedures for Cementitious Samples in the Coventry University JL Laboratories

- **Chemical tests:** Pore fluid expression
- **Exposure tests:** Freeze-thaw, sulphate attack, expansion
- **Porosity Measurement:** Helium pycnometer
- **Tests available in other departments:** ICP chemical analysis, Scanning electron microscope, Particle sizer, Thermogravimetric balance

# Materials Characterisation


- Must be enough analysis to make the work repeatable in other labs.
- An analysis of the variability of the materials is essential.
- Typical tests XRF, XRD  
Particle size





# High Pressure Apparatus





# The High Pressure Through Flow Cell



# The high-pressure test measures:

- The permeability of the samples to water.
- The change in permeability in the presence of leachate.
- The adsorption of ions from the leachate by measuring eluent chemistry.
- Changes in permeability, strength and eluent chemistry (pH).
- The effect of different residence times in the sample
- The effect of cracking
- The performance of multi-layer systems
- The ability of the clay to seal cracks
- The availability of the buffering capacity of the barrier.

# Diffusion Cells



# Strength Tests



Corrosion tests - The process is accelerated by applying a positive voltage on 0.1 Volts.



Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.

Professor Peter Claisse

Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
  - Laboratory Tests
  - Site Trials
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future

# Why site trials are needed.

- To validate lab results on a large scale
- To demonstrate production methods
- To provide exposure tests for samples which are then returned to the lab
- To provide publicity
- To provide education



# The mixture designs for the trials

Trial	Pour	Cementitious component	Strength MPa
1	Cell 1 top	Spent borax 100%	4.5
2	Cell 2 top	CKD 60%, Lagoon ash 40%	1.7
3	Cell 3 top	CKD 60%, Lagoon ash 40%	1.3
1	Cell 1 base	GGBS 90%, OPC 10%, Sodium sulphate	13
2	Cell 2 base	CKD 60%, PFA 40%, Sodium sulphate	6.9
3	Cell 3 base	OPC 5%, CKD, 70%, Lagoon ash 25%	6
4	Trench fill	BOS 60%, Red Gypsum 40%	1.8
5	Sub-base	BOS 80%, PB 15%, BPD 5%	10.8
6	Base course	BOS 80%, PB 15%, BPD 5%	30.55

# Site Trials

1. Landfill Barrier

2. Trench Fill

3. Road Base



# Secondary materials in the mixes





# Waste-derived concrete for landfill barrier

Sampling lines  
below the  
barrier



# Site Trials

1. Landfill Barrier

2. Trench Fill

3. Road Base



# Gypsum/Slag mix trial pour



Red Gypsum: 5.3 Tonne  
BOS weathered slag: 8 Tonne  
Water: 2400 litres  
Calculated yield: 7.4 m<sup>3</sup>





# Gypsum/Slag mix trial pour



# Site Trials

1. Landfill Barrier

2. Trench Fill

3. Road Base



# Trial 5 Car Park





# Trial 6

## Semi-Dry Paste/grout





# Trial 6 Haul Road – Soil Stabilisation





# Concrete without Cement (Trials 5 and 6)



Concrete (trial 5)

Semi-dry paste/grout  
(trial 6)




Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.

Professor Peter Claisse

Coventry University, Coventry UK


- Objectives
- Test Methods
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future

# Strength Development (BPD-BOS)




# Results for an APC residue (incinerator ash) mix

**Strength gain with increase of OPC content, reduction of BPD content and keeping Inc.ash constant at 60% constant**





## Optimization of BPD, BOS and ROSA mixture



Artificial Neural Networks  
were not effective because of variability in the materials

(A and B)- BOS+BPD+RG strength (MPa) after 7 and 28 days



Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.

Professor Peter Claisse

Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future
  - The problem to be overcome
  - A new type of cement

# The Problem

- Almost half the papers in our conference “Sustainable Construction Materials 2” related to the use of secondary materials to replace cement
- Almost half the papers submitted to the ICE Materials Journal are on the same subject.
- Nowhere near half of the cement used to make concrete is actually being replaced with secondary materials. There is some progress but it is far too slow. **The environment will not wait for us.**



# Some Reasons for the Problem

- New materials cause production problems and increased cost for producers, e.g. the need for more silos.
- Producers are worried about durability.
- Producers are very worried about leaching and other environmental impacts.
- Producers are very very worried about potential impacts on human health.



Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.

Professor Peter Claisse

Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future
  - The problem to be overcome
  - A new type of cement

# A New Type of Cement – defining the requirement

- Large quantities of cement are used for low-strength applications such as road bases, footings and trench and mine backfill.
- These mixes are normally made with the same cement as high-strength mixes (except some hydraulically bound road base materials that use waste minerals which are individually tested before use)
- European Standards have encouraged the use of established cement replacements (such as Pulverised Fuel Ash and Ground Granulated Blastfurnace Slag ) but still require high strengths and do not permit many other materials.

# A new type of cement “cem-zero”

- For low-strength concrete (up to 20MPa)
- Made entirely with waste minerals
- Continually changing materials and proportions depending on the availability and composition of the wastes.
- A quality assured product so the user can use it like any other cement
- Ideal for LEED and BREAM projects

# The “Coventry Blend”


- Basic oxygen slag from steel manufacture (80%)
- Waste plasterboard (15%)
- Kiln by-pass dust from cement manufacture.(5%)

100 Tonnes of this blend were made for trials 5 and 6

This blend is not recommended for partial replacement of cement – it is for use without cement




# Stage 1 - Blending “cem-zero”



Dryers	Raw material silos	Analysis	Flow control	Mixing	Powder storage
If any of the secondary materials are wet it will be necessary to dry them	The silos should be fitted with agitators to improve uniformity of feed to mixer	In-line sensors	Responding to results of in-line analysis using computer methods established in this project.	Dry powder and small particle blending	The powder will be dry so it may be stored for weeks /months



# Stage 2 may be needed depending on choice of product



# Thank you

[www.claisse.info](http://www.claisse.info)